

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO But 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 2313-1450 www.waybi.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/555,720	11/07/2005	Kazuyoshi Oshima	12088/039001	2059
OSHA LIANO	7590 09/15/200 T.I.P	009		INER
TWO HOUST	ON CENTER	KELLY, CATHERINE A		
909 FANNIN, HOUSTON, T			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3634	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/15/2009	FLECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docketing@oshaliang.com buta@oshaliang.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/555,720	OSHIMA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
CATHERINE A. KELLY	3634	

	CATHERINE A. KELLY	3634						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 26 August 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following r application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	the same day as filing a Notice of eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Au no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I	iter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	n.					
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f		26(a) and the appropriat	a autonoian foa					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set fort in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filled, may reduce any serined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL								
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the property of the normal property. 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the						
AMENDMENTS	ann are anne period del lorar in er	OI 11 4 1.07 (U).						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);								
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying t	ne issues for					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		,	,					
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendmer	t canceling the					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	planation of					
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a					
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.					
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:					
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)							
/KATHERINE W MITCHELL/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3634								

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: In regards to the foreign priority documents, Examiner has contacted the PCT Office at the PTO and the documents have been found but are in the process of scenning and flus cannot be acknowledged until that process is completed. In regards to applicant's arguments directed to the tracks and surface of claim 25, Examiner disagrees and maintains that they are shown in the '881 reference as described in the Office Action (26/2009 on the multi-sided surface or element 15. Further, applicant argues that the rail 68 of the '881 reference cannot support multiple door, however, Examiner notes that claim 25 states 'each of said plurality of doors' and thus the claim requires one door per rail with multiple door/fail sets which Examiner maintains is taught by duplication of the door/rail set shown in the '681 reference, in regards to applicant's arguments directed to claim 10, Examiner notes that the citication is a 103 rejection and thus a combination of concepts so as the rotating rail is taught in the '681 reference and a rotating barcket in the '342 reference, in combination or all and rotating bracket are taught. In regards to applicant's arguments directed to claim 11, the rejection of 6/26/2009 states that the roller is shown in the '681 reference not the '342 reference as argued by the applicant and thus Examiner maintains the relection of 6/26/2009.